

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/050,375 01/15/2002 Fouad D. Mehawej DA-052-US-01 5750 11/26/2003 EXAMINER 7590 NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS Julie Post H.B. Fuller Company PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 1200 Willow Lake Blvd. P.O. Box 64683 1714 St. Paul, MN 55164-0683 DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | 10/050,375 | MEHAWEJ ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Patrick D. Niland | 1714 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) N tatute, cause the application to become | thirty (30) days will be considered timely. |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | | |
| | This action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allocations of allocation in accordance with the practice und | owance except for formal maler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C | atters, prosecution as to the merits is i.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the applica | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-32</u> is/are with | drawn from consideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27 and 33-40</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| , | ud/or olootion rockiinamaant | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers | ador election requirement. | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam | linor | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ : | | o by the Evaminor |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attach | ed Office Action or form PTO-152. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | eign priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | |
| application from the International Bur | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a l | list of the certified copies no | t received. |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. | estic priority under 35 U.S.C first sentence of the specifi | c. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet. |
| a) The translation of the foreign language | provisional application has | been received. |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome reference was included in the first sentence of | estic priority under 35 U.S.C f the specification or in an A | . §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific pplication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) 🗍 Interview | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) |
| 2) | 5) Notice of | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | , O, Onler: | • |

Application/Control Number: 10/050,375

Art Unit: 1714

- 1. This application contains claims 28-32 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the paper of 10/22/03. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 2. Applicant's election of group I, claims 1-27 and 33-40 in the paper of 10/22/03 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-27 and 33-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1013291 A1 Luizzi in view of Sumitomo Seika, Super Absorbent Polymer Aqua Keep, Product Literature.

Luizzi discloses the instantly claimed composition at page 2, lines 10-58; page 3, lines 40-58, where "about 60%" reads on the instantly claimed amounts of superabsorbent polymer based on the definition of "about" ("About" permits some tolerance. At least about 10% was held to be anticipated by a teaching of a content not to exceed about 8%. In re Ayers, 154 F 2d 182, 69 USPQ 109 (CCPA 1946). A pressure limitation of 2-15 pounds per square inch was held to be readable on a reference which taught a pressure of the order of about 15 pounds to the square inch.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,375

Art Unit: 1714

in re Erickson, 346 F 2d 778, 145 USPQ 207 (CCPA 1965).), page 4, lines 1-58, of which lines 33-35 encompass the instantly claimed particle size; page 5, lines 1-58; page 6, lines 1-58 and the remainder of the document. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the instantly claimed particle size superabsorbent polymer because such particle size polymer is commercially available from Aqua Keep as Aqua Keep 10SH-NF, is encompassed by Luizzi, and would have been expected to give more efficient absorption based on the increased surface area of such smaller particles per amount of material as is shown by the superior absorption rate shown for this material in the Aqua Keep literature.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Niland whose telephone number is 703-308-3510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 10am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/050,375

Art Unit: 1714

Patrick D. Niland Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714